

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

Matthew Travis Houston,

Case No. 2:21-cv-00499-JAD-DJA

Plaintiff,

## Order

V.

Golden Entertainment, et al.

## Defendants.

11 Before the Court are Plaintiff's two motions for appointment of counsel. (ECF Nos. 39,  
12 Plaintiff's first motion is a form motion for appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 39). But  
13 Plaintiff adds no facts related to his particular case or his ability to present his claims. (*Id.*).  
14 Without more, the Court cannot conclude that Plaintiff has demonstrated that exceptional  
15 circumstances exist to warrant appointing counsel. *See Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of*  
16 *America*, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004). The Court thus denies Plaintiff's first motion.  
17 (ECF No. 39). Plaintiff's second motion is nearly identical to another of Plaintiff's motions to  
18 appoint counsel that the Court denied for containing numerous unrelated and nonsensical pages.  
19 Compare (ECF No. 41) with (ECF No. 36). The Court denies Plaintiff's second motion for the  
20 same reason. (ECF No. 41). Moreover, the Court has already recommended dismissal of this  
21 case for Plaintiff's repeated failure to file a fully complete application to proceed *in forma*  
22 *pauperis*. (ECF No. 32).

23           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel  
24 (ECF Nos. 39 and 41) are **denied**.

DATED: November 29, 2022



---

DANIEL J. ALBRECHTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE